Message

From: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]

Sent: 8/29/2018 1:37:30 PM

To: Parker, Christopher [Parker.Christopher@epa.gov]; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip [Mancusi-Ungaro.Philip@epa.gov];

Mcgill, Thomas [Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov]

CC: Hicks, Matt [Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov]; Hurld, Kathy [Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov]; Purify, Johnnie

[Purify.Johnnie@epa.gov]; Armor, Suzanne [Armor.Suzanne@epa.gov]; Zimmerman, Eve

[Zimmerman.Eve@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: tribal consultation letter for review

Hi, folks – it's not done yet. I'm working on coordinating with Eve (just getting back to that as other things jumped to the front of the line), but the plan is to reach out informally (it's the Miccosukee asking) and explain how limited we are in what we can even discuss now, and yes – recommend that they look to Florida's proposed rule (phase 2 coming soon) re: delineation and engage the state on that front.

I like the idea of offering informal calls ahead of formally initiating consultation, as the review start date may be very difficult to know in advance.

From: Parker, Christopher

Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2018 8:40 AM

To: Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip < Mancusi-Ungaro. Philip@epa.gov>; Mcgill, Thomas < Mcgill. Thomas@epa.gov>

Cc: Calli, Rosemary < Calli. Rosemary@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt < Hicks. Matthew@epa.gov>; Hurld, Kathy

<Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>; Purify, Johnnie <Purify.Johnnie@epa.gov>; Armor, Suzanne <Armor.Suzanne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: tribal consultation letter for review

I think Phil is correct in that we sent them to the state. I spoke with Eric Hickman yesterday and they are still working on language that compares/contrasts and explains the methodologies. I have not seen any of this language to date, but Eric and I are planning to discuss next Wed-Fri while we are all in Tallahassee (Eric had to take some leave this week to handle a personal matter).

Christopher Parker

Soil Scientist | Water Protection Division Marine Regulatory and Wetlands Enforcement Section U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region IV 61 Forsyth Street SW | Atlanta, GA 30303-8960

Email: parker.christopher@epa.gov

Phone: 404.562.9838

From: Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip

Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2018 8:11 AM **To:** Mcgill, Thomas < Mcgill. Thomas@epa.gov>

Cc: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>; Hurld, Kathy

<Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>; Parker, Christopher <Parker.Christopher@epa.gov>; Purify, Johnnie

<Purify.Johnnie@epa.gov>; Armor, Suzanne <Armor.Suzanne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: tribal consultation letter for review

Ex. 5 Attorney Client (AC)

Ex. 5 Attorney Client (AC)

Phil

Philip G. Mancusi-Ungaro Attorney-Adviser Office of Water Legal Support USEPA Region 4 61 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30303 Tel: 404-562-9519 Cel: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

This email is from an attorney and may contain privileged information and attorney-client communications and should not be released under FOIA or discovery to individuals or entities outside of EPA or the U.S. Department of Justice without the knowledge of the sender.

From: Mcgill, Thomas

Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2018 7:52 AM

To: Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip < Mancusi-Ungaro. Philip@epa.gov>

Cc: Calli, Rosemary < Calli, Rosemary@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt < Hicks, Matthew@epa.gov>; Hurld, Kathy

<Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>; Parker, Christopher <Parker.Christopher@epa.gov>; Purify, Johnnie

<Purify.Johnnie@epa.gov>; Armor, Suzanne <Armor.Suzanne@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: tribal consultation letter for review

At a minimum we could reach out informally within the next several days and provide draft copies of the EPA-FL MOA for their review. Also, Rosemary, what is the status of responding to the Seminole's request for a call?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 28, 2018, at 7:59 PM, Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip < Mancusi-Ungaro. Philip@epa.gov> wrote:

Ex. 5 Attorney Client (AC)

Phil

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 28, 2018, at 6:38 PM, Calli, Rosemary < Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov> wrote:

Hello – The draft tribal consultation template letter is ready for your review. The first four paragraphs use much the same language as the FR Notice and agency notification letter, so you might want to skip that part until we settle on edits in the FRN (which I can then transfer over to all the other pieces).

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Eve and Suzanne have reviewed, and I've incorporated most of their edits. Suzanne's one major recommendation is to try to kick off consultation before the public comment period. We might be able to jump start by the day or two it take to get the FRN published, but I really don't see much wiggle room between deciding the package is complete and getting the FRN out under our current instructions, but here is Suzanne's comment for consideration:

Ex. 5 Attorney Client (AC)